

ACOTW™

www.ArrowheadCollectingOnTheWeb.com

Volume IV, Number 1

January 2012

As Much As 20,000 Years Ago, Ancient Seafarers Carried Their Favorite Obsidian With Them As They Explored The South Seas, Quarrying It From Islands Off The Coast Of Papua New Guinea.


Thank you for subscribing to our newsletter, "Arrowhead Collecting On The Web".

I hope you enjoyed the recent edition, Volume III, Number 12, of our digital e-magazine, the December, 2011 issue.

"Arrowhead Collecting On The Web" provides an on-going series of articles and graphic presentations of information related to the many different aspects of discovering and learning about artifacts of previous cultures found today.

Now with over 1,735 monthly subscribers across North America, plus South America, Africa, Europe, Australia, New Zealand and Asia, we are now all the way through our fourth calendar year, Volume IV. This January 2012 edition addresses the following topics, among others:

- For many thousands of years people have explored and enjoyed living in the South Pacific Islands. One of the ways we understand how long islanders have been there is through archaeology. Evidence for ancient seafaring between the distant islands of the Pacific includes the materials which they brought with them in their explorations; in particular,



Lin Webb found this hard rock axe on a deer scouting trip last summer. Read his tale of discovery ... on Drowning Creek in Scotland County, NC. Drowning Creek eventually flows into the Cape Fear River, so Lin tells us that we could call it part of the Cape Fear Basin. (Page 16.)

- obsidian which was carried and traded across hundreds of miles of ocean, even as long as 20,000 years ago. (See pages 3-13.)
 - For more wintertime point hunting along the shores of the Potomac River in Maryland, check out Mike Lundmark's recent finds. (See pages 14-15.)
 - From central Texas "Hill Country", we see photos of "Lightning Whelk" shells, imported a great distance in native trade, used for decoration or serving spoons? Take a look and make a guess. (See page 17.)
- Read, learn, find, enjoy. And pass it all along to your family and friends.

Our editorial objective is to help our readers become long-term, even serious collectors of arrowheads, over the years to come. Here are some of the things we hope to accomplish for you in this process:


- Help you find new points and understand what it is that you are finding;
- Help you to recognize the different kinds and styles of collectable arrowheads and other implements;
- Help you to understand about the life ways of the cultures represented by the stone tools which remain;
- Help you to discover ways to find good and desirable arrowheads from other sources, such as eBay and special subject web sites;
- Help you understand about modern flint knapping, how new points and implements are made, how to recognize them, and how to appreciate those items for the skill and the craftsmanship of the work which they exhibit;
- Help you to understand that some people still try to sell unsuspecting collectors items which may or may not be what the items are described to be, that you should be careful when you think about buying points for your collection;
- Help you to learn about authentication services and their value to you as a collector.

As we do these things, we will maintain your readership and interest in our newsletter for many years to come.

Thank you for your participation, and your interest in "Arrowhead Collecting On The Web".

Sincerely,

F. Scott Crawford
Carrollton, Texas



When you want to know what the ancient Indian (native American) stone arrowheads are, which you and your family may find in the Pacific Northwest, read the new book:

What Is This Arrowhead?

Your (on-line & print) guide to over 57 different types and styles of stone arrowheads found in the Pacific Northwest.

This 72 page book is now available online at www.Amazon.com, ISBN number: 1466378530; or directly from the publisher through the web site: www.BlackRockPublishing.com/57.html

Member AACA



www.Amazon.com
www.BlackRockPublishing.com/57.html

© 2011. All Rights Reserved.
www.ArrowheadCollectingOnTheWeb.com
F. Scott Crawford, Carrollton, Texas



About The Author

From the time when I was 13 or 14 in the forested foothills of the western Oregon Willamette Valley and found my first arrowhead, an obsidian "bird point," in a field my dad had plowed for an experimental crop of maize, I have always wondered about the people who used these stone tools, how they lived, and what became of them.

Some friends had collected Indian artifacts in the desert areas of eastern Oregon and my brothers and I all enjoyed looking at their display of those arrowheads. So, to find some points of our own, on the family land, was particularly exciting. After that, whenever we were working in the bean fields, or tending livestock, or moving irrigation pipes, or just wandering across the back 40, we always would keep an eye out for bits and pieces of worked stone, tools and points, to add to our growing collection.

Today, I still keep an eye out for remnants of past cultures. And as the world has changed so much, I can now do much, but not all, of that wandering and learning on the internet, on the world wide web. That's how I came to be collecting arrowheads on the web, and why I began to put together this newsletter, for others across the land who also are interested in "Arrowhead Collecting On The Web".

FSC

p.s. There is still a time and a place for criss crossing a plowed field, or walking along the banks of a stream, just to see what you might find. Knowing where to look and how, is part of what we plan to explore in the pages of "Arrowhead Collecting On The Web." Read, learn, find, enjoy.

p.p.s. You are invited to visit my flint knapping web site:
www.StoneBreaker-FSC.net

When We Think Of South Pacific Islands, We Often Forget That People Have Been Living In That Region For Many Thousands Of Years...Evidence? Obsidian Trade & Use Across The Ocean.

IPPA BULLETIN 29, 2009: 109-123

Obsidian Network Patterns In Melanesia – Sources, Characterisation And Distribution.

Glenn R. Summerhayes

Department of Anthropology, Otago University, Dunedin, New Zealand

Email: glenn.summerhayes@otago.ac.nz

Keywords: obsidian sources, Melanesia, Lapita, prehistoric exchange

INTRODUCTION

This paper provides an overview of the analysis of obsidian use and distribution within Melanesia by firstly, reviewing the source areas including the history of obsidian chemical characterisation, and secondly, by looking at 20,000 years of obsidian use and its distribution.

OBSIDIAN SOURCES

Within Melanesia obsidian has a naturally restricted occurrence to Papua New Guinea and Vanuatu (Figure 1).

In the Bismarck Archipelago it is found in two regions: the Admiralty Islands, and West New Britain at the Willaumez Peninsula and Mopir. The third regional source is centred on Fergusson Island. Within all three provinces chemically distinct sub-groups are identified.

Because of their restricted natural distribution and distinct chemistry, obsidian found in archaeological sites can be matched (or traced) to their geological sources, thus providing archaeologists with important distribution information. By identifying the sources of obsidian from distant sites over select periods of time, the changing nature of distributions can be mapped and social and economic models to account for

those changes can be developed and tested.

Sourcing of Melanesian obsidian began over 35 years ago initiated by Key (1968, 1969). Using emission spectroscopy he separated obsidian collected from Lou Island, the Willaumez Peninsula and East Fergusson. These sourcing results were used on archaeological samples from Watom, Collingwood Bay, Ambitle Island and also Reef Island and Santa Cruz assemblages. Samples from south coast Papuan sites of Nebira, Oposisi and Apere Venuna were also sourced back to Fergusson sources (Vanderwal 1973:214). Later characterisation analyses used XRF (Smith 1974, Smith et al. 1977) on Fergusson Island obsidian, and Lowder and Carmichael (1970) on a single sample from the Willaumez Peninsula. Ambrose using the same technique, sourced obsidian from both Ambitle

(...continues on p. 4)




Figure 1. Obsidian source regions in Melanesia. New Guinea is on the left edge of the map, above Australia.

Island and the Reef-Santa Cruz Group, to the Willaumez Peninsula (Ambrose and Green 1972).

The next technique to be applied was Neutron Activation Analysis (NAA) (Wall 1976) on samples from Lou Island, the Willaumez Peninsula, West and East Fergusson, and the Banks Islands, along with archaeological samples from a number of sites (Ambrose 1976). Yet, as outlined in Summerhayes et al. (1998), NAA was not used as extensively as another technique, Proton Induced Gamma Emission (PIGME) (Bird and Russell 1976; Bird et al. 1981a), and later Proton Induced X-ray Emission (PIXE), developed together to provide PIXE-PIGME (Ambrose and Duerden 1982; Bird et al. 1983; Duerden et al. 1979; Duerden et al. 1980; Duerden et al. 1986).

PIGME, although measuring only three elements (F, Al and Na) proved useful in separating out Willaumez Peninsula, Admiralty and Fergusson sources, but had overlap between the Willaumez and the Banks Island,




Figure 2. Willaumez Peninsula on New Britain.

Vanuatu, sources. Over 700 archaeological samples were analysed and compared back to the source samples (Bird et al. 1981a; 1981b). PIGME also isolated an unknown source from New Britain, which was later identified as the Mopir source (Specht and Hollis 1982; Fullagar et al. 1991; Summerhayes et al. 1993).

Despite these achievements, three elements were not enough to provide clear distinctions between the source areas. To overcome these limitations Proton Induced X-ray Emission was used and developed by the late Roger Bird alongside PIGME at the Australian Atomic Energy Commission. Thus PIXE-PIGME allowed simultaneous measurements of gamma and X-ray spectra providing over 20 elements to be measured, 12 of which were isolated by Bird and Ambrose as being useful for source identification (Bird et al. 1988; Duerden et al. 1986; 1987). From 1990 onwards, changes were made to the machine conditions of PIXE-PIGME making it more sophisticated and allowing a better resolution between sources and sub-sources (Summerhayes and Hotchkis 1992, Summerhayes et al. 1993, Summerhayes et al. 1998).

Apart from PIXE-PIGME and the techniques mentioned above, only five other chemical techniques have been used in the recent analysis of obsidian from Melanesia.

First is an Energy Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence (EDXRF) analysis of obsidian from Mussau assemblages by Weisler (Weisler and Clague 1998). The second was by Ambrose using a JEOL scanning electron microscope

(...continues on p. 5)



Papua New Guinea, with New Britain and New Ireland to the east, around the Bismarck Sea.

Figure 3. West New Britain sources. Prominent in the center is the Willaumez Peninsula, with several obsidian sources identified.

with an EDAX attachment (Fredericksen 1997a) which allowed the subdivision of the major source areas.

Thirdly, Raman spectroscopy has recently been used to distinguish major Pacific source areas (Manus, New Britain and Banks) and to allocate a museum obsidian piece from the Pitt Rivers Museum to a source in New Britain which is not surprising given that it was collected along the south coast of New Britain (Carter et al. 2009).

Fourthly, using a portable XRF spectrometer Peter Sheppard has successfully analysed and separated out the source regions from the western Pacific, and has characterised and allocated sources for over 950 archaeological samples from the Reef/Santa Cruz Lapita sites (Sheppard et al. in press). Similar machines have recently been purchased in a number of Australian institutions and also at Otago University, making this technique one of the desirable methods for the future. Lastly, is the use of later ablation ICP-MS (see Summerhayes 2008 for a description of the technique) on source and archaeological samples by Wal Ambrose and Christian Reepmeyer of the ANU. The technique has successfully used to chemically distinguish western Pacific source areas, and to allocate to general source regions archaeological samples from Pacific and southeast Asian contexts (see Ambrose et al. 2009; Reepmeyer 2008; Reepmeyer et al. in press; Reepmeyer and Clark in press).

Density analysis has also been used as a preliminary screening process to separate major source areas, but with less success (Ambrose 1976; Ward 1979: appendix 8; Allen in press; Torrence and Victor 1995; White and Harris 1997). Overlaps in the density range exist between most sources areas, leading to problems in source attribution (Allen in press).

PAPUA NEW GUINEA

1. New Britain – Willaumez Peninsula and Mopir sources (Figures 2 and 3).

The north coast of New Britain has rhyolitic obsidian bearing deposits within the Bismarck Arc volcanic belt (Johnson et al. 1973) where the Solomon Sea and South Bismarck Plate converge (Johnson 1976:108). This




Figure 4. Admiralty sources, mostly on the small Lou Island, Pam Lin and Baluan Island.

volcanic belt shows changes across the arc running parallel to the east-west axis of New Britain and the Willaumez Peninsula and “concomitant with the changing depths to the underlying Benioff zone” (Johnson 1976:108). That is, within the Willaumez peninsula, there is an increase northwards of potassium and sodium, with changes also in titanium and sulphur. Thus the obsidian flows overlie a Benioff Zone, which dips northwards along the Peninsula, with differences in the chemistry of outcrops expected in the flows along this zone (Johnson 1976:108; Johnson et al. 1973: 529; Lowder and Carmichael 1970:27). As noted in Summerhayes (et al. 1998), “obsidian outcropping in different areas should have slightly different chemical make-ups, despite their geographical proximity”.

In the 1970s samples collected by Ambrose and Specht were ample to allow an initial separation of Willaumez Peninsula sources from those outside the region (Specht 1981, Specht and Hollis 1982; Bird et al. 1981a, 1981b, 1988; Duerden et al. 1987). In the late 1980s Specht was joined by Robin Torrence and Richard Fullagar who resumed the extensive mapping of obsidian exposures on the Willaumez Peninsula and Garua Island, collecting many obsidian samples from different points along their transects (Torrence et al 1992; Torrence et al. 1996, Summerhayes et al. 1998). They recorded whether the obsidian was in primary flows or secondary contexts, and also noted the quality of obsidian. In 1990 Fullagar, Summerhayes and Ivuyo, also successfully mapped the obsidian outcrops of the remote Mopir source, inland from Hoskins, New Britain (Fullagar et al. 1991). A number of

flows were recorded, and samples taken for analysis.

In 1990, a total of 125 source samples from over 60 source localities from within the Talasea region, and 21 for the Mopir area (Fullagar et al 1991) were analysed using PIXE-PIGME. The machine conditions were refined with increased time for counting and an increased beam current of 300 nA, resulting in better results allowing five source groupings (see Summerhayes et al. 1993; Summerhayes et al. 1998).

2. Admiralty Sources

Obsidian occurs naturally at four major areas within the Admiralty Islands (Figure 4). As it will be argued that access to sources provides the key to obsidian selection in the Admiralties, some detail on each of the sources is provided here.

1. Mt Habie (Kennedy 1997; Bird et al. 1988). Obsidian from this source is not found outside the Southwest Bay area on Manus Island
2. Lou Island. Located 25 km south of Manus Island, Lou is about 12 km long and up to 6 km wide. It is of volcanic origin, with twelve volcanoes, five of which were formed in the last two thousand years (Ambrose and Duerden 1982:85; Ambrose et al. 1981). Three major volcanic events at 2100, 1960 and 1600 years ago obliterated human settlements and covered obsidian sources (Ambrose 1988; Ambrose and Duerden 1982:85; Ambrose et al. 1981). Several obsidian sources have been located on Lou, including Umrei and Wekwok where shafts to extract obsidian are found. At Umleang, Ambrose located 25 shafts, some up to 17 m deep (Ambrose et al. 1981:7). Use

(...continues on p. 6)

of these quarried shafts was probably limited to the last 400 years (Ambrose 1998; Ambrose et al. 1981:13; Fullagar and Torrence 1991). Permanent habitation was probably not possible on Lou prior to the introduction of agriculture.

3. Tuluman Island. Lying just south of Lou, this island was formed in 1954 and is not relevant here.

4. Pam Lin and Pam Mandian Islands. These two small islands are located just over 6 km south of Lou Island.

On Pam Lin, which is just 500 m wide, obsidian occurs 2 m above sea level and is thus easily accessible.

Ambrose et al. (1981) noted that obsidian from Pam Mandian was of poor quality.

Through extensive sampling and chemical analysis using PIXE/PIGME, Ambrose and his colleagues (Ambrose et al. 1981; Ambrose and Duerden 1982) identified the chemical signatures of the Admiralty Islands' sources. Collection from both source areas and archaeological deposits was made by Ambrose over many years. Ambrose submitted over 300 samples for chemical analysis in his bid to characterise the sources (Ambrose et al. 1981:7).

The late Roger Bird, who pioneered the sourcing program with Ambrose, provided the original source data, and this has been refined by using improved machine conditions for the PIXE/PIGME analyses (Summerhayes et al. 1998). Obsidian from Umrei and Wekwok on Lou Island can be clearly separated from each other and from the nearby Pam Lin obsidian.

3. Fergusson Island

The third major obsidian source region in Papua New Guinea is found on and near Fergusson Island, which is part of the D'Entrecasteaux group (Figures 5 and 6). There are a number of outcrops of obsidian on Fergusson Island and the close offshore islands of Sanaroa and Dobu.

Samples for earlier analyses were collected in the 1960s by Ian Smith, however, the PIXE-PIGME, density and ICP analyses were performed on samples collected and mapped by Ambrose in the 1970s.

The Fergusson obsidian exposures can be divided into Western and Eastern sources:




Figure 5. Fergusson Island sources.




Figure 6. Close up of Fergusson Island sources.

1. West Fergusson

Within West Fergusson obsidian sources were mapped and collected from two areas:

- a. The Kukuia Peninsula. Samples were collected from Igwageta, Iaupolo, and east of Iaupolo.
- b. The Fagalulu active thermal spring area produced cobble-sized obsidian.

2. East Fergusson

Within East Fergusson, samples were collected round three areas:

- a. Sanaroa Island, east of East Fergusson. Small obsidian clumps present on the surface as scattered ejecta from an explosive volcanic event (Ambrose et al. 1981:4).
- Obsidian from Sanaroa has not been found in archaeological collections.
- b. Aiasuna, (south side of Numanuma Bay). Samples were also collected on the other side of Numanuma bay.
- c. Lomonai. Samples were taken from

coastal deposits at the foot of Mount Lamoni.

Samples were also collected from Dobu Island just to the south of East Fergusson. Dobu also contains obsidian flows, one of which was formed within the last 200 years (Smith 1973:11). Obsidian from Dobu has not been found in archaeological deposits.

As noted above, Fergusson Island obsidian has been characterised by a number of techniques including emission spectroscopy (Key 1968), XRF (Smith 1974, Smith et al. 1977; NAA (Wall 1976), and PIXE-PIGME (Duerden et al 1979; Bird n.d.). It is chemically distinct from other Melanesian obsidians. Within the Fergusson Island area, West Fergusson obsidian separates out from the East Fergusson sources and offshore islands with low fluorine counts. Within East Fergusson, there is variability within source locations, with Roger Bird noting

(...continues on p. 7)

widely varying compositions (Bird nd: 13). Bird distinguished a third chemical grouping of obsidian found in artefact collections with their closest affinity to the West Fergusson sources which he labelled XX.

VANUATU

4. Banks Islands

Obsidian occurs naturally on two islands of northern Vanuatu, Gaua and Vanua Lava. Up until recently we relied in samples collect for analysis by G. Ward (1979) as part of his PhD research into the archaeology of this region in 1973-5 (Figure 7).

1. Gaua Island. Obsidian was found on the northeast of Gaua Island close to the rim of the volcanic crater, and also in 'detrital' form at Losalava Bay, next to the mouth of the river (Ward 1979:8-14). Ward collected samples from upper and mid slopes of the islands northeast (Bird et al. 1981a:55).

2. Vanua Lava. Obsidian is located northwest of Losa Bay at a beach level flow, and from a nearby stream.

Ward collected samples from these locations. Ward also noted that according to local informants, other obsidian sources were located on the island, but he did not have time to record them (Ward 1979:8-14).

Other forms of volcanic glass that sporadically occur in Vanuatu, however,




Figure 7. Banks Islands sources.

are not present in the archaeological record and are of no use to us here. As noted above, sourcing was undertaken on Banks Islands obsidian using XRF (Smith et al. 1977), NAA (Wall 1976), density (Ambrose 1976) and PIXE-PIGME (Bird et al. 1988; Duerden et al. 1986; 1987). The obsidian is easily distinguished from other obsidian sources by its colour and in some instances vesicles. Ambrose et al (1981) has described these glasses as pitchstones, which could produce small flakes. Smith et al. (1977:184) describe the Vanua Lava glass as being vesicular, while the Gaua glass is higher quality.

Work on the Banks sources has dramatically shifted gear with the recent research of Christian Reepmeyer, who as part of his PhD research has examined the Banks sources and chemically re-characterised them using ICPMS and EDXA-SEM. Sampling from roughly the same areas as Ward, Reepmeyer analysed 29 samples from the source areas and confirmed the chemical separation between the two source areas with a high intrasource homogeneity for both (Reepmeyer 2008:125).

OBSIDIAN DISTRIBUTIONS

Pleistocene – 20,000 years (Figure 8)

The first evidence for the extraction and distribution of obsidian is found in the late Pleistocene site of Matenbek, New Ireland (Summerhayes and Allen 1993). In the bottom units dated to between 20,000 to 18,000 years ago, nearly eighty-percent of obsidian came from the Mopir source and the rest from Talasea sources. Matenbek lies over 350 kilometres from the nearest Mopir obsidian source, and just over 400 kilometres from the Talasea sources. The persistent deposition of obsidian over 2,000 years suggests repeated crossings of the St George straight separating New Britain and New Ireland. The distribution of obsidian is best explained by the "extraction of obsidian from the closest available source, and subsequent down the line exchange between semisedentary populations" (Summerhayes and Allen 1993:147). This would account for the small appearance of Talasea obsidian and the dominance

(...continues on p. 8)




Figure 8. Distribution of obsidian – late Pleistocene.

of Mopir in this assemblage. Obsidian from the late Pleistocene assemblage of Matenkupkum, also from New Ireland, dating from c.16,000-10,000 BP also displays the same proportion of obsidian from the Mopir and Talasea sources. This behaviour continues up till 3,300 BP when Lapita ceramics appear on the scene (see below). Another assemblage from New Ireland, Buang Merabak, has obsidian from 20,000 years ago, however, the results of recent sourcing are still not available (Leavesley and Allen 1998:72), but will be published soon by Leavesley (pers. comm).

Evidence of obsidian use in late Pleistocene sites from New Britain is found from two sites. From Misisil Cave, located in the centre of the island, both Mopir and Kutau/ Bao obsidian is found (Summerhayes et al. 1998).

Given its closeness to both sources, the equal representation of these sources at Misisil is expected. A similar situation where distance to the source determines the amount of obsidian seen within a site is seen at Kupona na dari, site code FABM, located at the base of the Willaumez Peninsula. The site is located on a small hill just 500 metres from the coast and could be older than 20,000 years and perhaps more like 35,000 BP according to its excavator (Torrence et al. 2004). The

oldest radiocarbon (AMS) dates were only Holocene in age. Luminescence dating provided age estimates of 38-39,000 years ago (Torrence et al. 2004:116). Fission Track dating was also undertaken on obsidian. The first occupants exploited obsidian sources near to hand, and the amount of obsidian was related to the sources distance from the site. At its earliest levels both Mopir and Gulu obsidian were found, with Kutau/Bao making an appearance towards the terminal Pleistocene/early Holocene Boundary (Torrence 2004:119). This suggests an older date for the assemblage as Kutau/Bao was only formed just prior to 20,000 years and is the more recent flow according to fission track dating (Bonetti et al. 1998).

The first appearance of obsidian in New Ireland at 20,000 years ago occurs at the same time as other translocations such as cuscus (*Phalanger orientalis*) being transported from mainland New Guinea to New Ireland via New Britain. It is argued that the movements of obsidian and animals across New Britain into New Ireland is the result of an increase in population levels among low density mobile hunter-gatherers, the development of territories and group boundaries leading to a threshold being crossed in the nature of societal interactions and the movement of goods (Summerhayes 2007).

The late Pleistocene also witnessed the first exploitation of Admiralty obsidian and use on Manus at the end of the Pleistocene (Fredericksen 1997a, 1997b, 2000).

Obsidian from the unknown source from Lou Island, called source X, first appeared at Pamwak in terminal Pleistocene contexts at c.13,000 BP contexts. Again, this is testimony to use of sea craft with this obsidian extracted from the small nearby island sources and transported some twenty-five kilometres to mainland Manus (Ambrose 1997:532; Summerhayes 2003a). The appearance of obsidian here also occurs with the movement of animals from mainland New Guinea at 12,000 years ago, such as the bandicoot and another species of cuscus (*Spilosocus kraemeri*), and the introduction of canarium nut trees (Spriggs 1997:54).

Early to mid-Holocene (Figure 9)

The early to mid Holocene sites from New Ireland such as Matenbek continue with a dominance of Mopir obsidian (Summerhayes and Allen 1993:147). Other assemblages, such as Panakiwuk and Balof have obsidian from Talasea, albeit in a very small quantity. A number of sites from this time-span are found from West New Britain, around the Willaumez Peninsula and Kandrian, and a single site from the Arawe Islands, Lolmo Cave (Summerhayes et al. 1998; Gosden et al. 1994). Those sites on the Willaumez Peninsula have a dominance of West New Britain obsidian, as would be expected being located close to the source outcrops. Those from Kandrian and Lolmo Cave from the Arawes have an equal proportion of Mopir and Talasea obsidian that is expected as the sites are equidistant to both source regions.

(...continues on p. 9)




Figure 9. Distribution of obsidian – early to mid Holocene.

During this time period, beginning about 6000 years ago, formal obsidian tool types appeared in assemblages from the north coast of New Britain, being made from a number of sources including Kutau/Bao, Gulu, Baki and Mopir. These “stemmed tools” (Araho et al. 2002) are further evidence of the mobile nature of groups of people using and extracting obsidian from the source closest to hand (Torrence and Summerhayes 1997). Their territories ranged from the major source areas of Willaumez Peninsula to Mopir sixty kilometres away (see Figure 3).

Sourcing of stemmed tools found from the Mopir region demonstrate they were made using Mopir obsidian, while identical tools found near the Willaumez Peninsula were made using Kutau/Bao, Baki or Gulu sources. Of interest are the stemmed tools from the interior of New Britain and the south coast that were also made from the available local rocks, not obsidian but chert. Excavations from Yombon have shown that like the obsidian stemmed tools from the north coast, stemmed chert tools appear about 6,000 years ago, although chert had been exploited for stone tool use (as expedient flakes), since the site was first occupied from 35,000 years ago (Pavlidis 1993; Pavlidis and Gosden 1994).

What were these stemmed tools used for? Torrence et al. (2000:235-237) and Fullagar (1993) argue that they were used for plant processing and had to be prepared in advanced and carried round until groups visited the source again. A recent study has however argued that some forms of stemmed tools, similar to those found on the north coast of New Guinea, may have had ceremonial functions as well (Araho et al. 2002). Whatever their function, stemmed tools disappeared under a blanket of ash produced by the eruption of Mount Witori located adjacent to the Mopir obsidian source. The impact of the eruption devastated populations in this entire region with Yombon resettled 800 years after this eruption, and the Willaumez Peninsula 250 years later (Torrence et al 2000).

Identical ‘stemmed tools’ are also found in a couple of contexts from the north coast of New Guinea. Swadling reports the finding of three stemmed obsidian tools from the Sepik-Ramu

all sourced to the Kutau/Bao source in West New Britain (Swadling and Hide 2006; Swadling 1990). Obsidian from New Britain is also making its way across the Vitiaz Strait to the mainland and then into the highland region of New Guinea. Obsidian was found at Kafivava in the eastern highlands in contexts dated to 4500 B.P. (White 1972). One stemmed tool has been supposedly found from surface contexts in a cave site from Biak Island, and is in private hands in an American collection (Torrence and Swadling 2008). It was sourced using PIXE-PIGME and ICP-MS to Umleang, Lou Island, leading Torrence to note that obsidian from Lou Island could have made its way to New Britain to be hammer dressed and shaped into stemmed tool (Torrence and Swadling 2008: 612). Torrence and Swadling argue that it is “reasonable to assume that the distribution of obsidian stemmed tools signals social interaction between widely spaced Lou and Garua islands and possibly also across the entire distribution of obsidian stemmed tools” (2008:612). Stemmed tools from secure archaeological contexts along the north coast of New Guinea are needed to confirm these surface collections findings.

The early Holocene period in the Admiralties witnessed the first exploitation of Pam Lin sources. In the middle Holocene, Pam Lin eventually replaced an unknown Lou Island source (‘source X’) as the main supplier of obsidian to Pamwak (Fredericksen 1997a:72).

This source X first appeared at Pamwak in terminal Pleistocene contexts. Two dates for the transition from source X to Pam are 6280±250 (ANU 7122) and 7940±90 BP (ANU 8239) (Fredericksen 1997a:72). This transition occurred at the time when obsidian from Wekwok first appears at Pamwak. The transition also underlies a midden layer with a dense concentration of obsidian that suggests a change in economic strategies, with a much greater use of obsidian from Pam Lin (Fredericksen 1997a:71). Lou Island obsidian occasionally occurs in early Holocene contexts, but the first significant amounts appear only in the top levels. These levels, unfortunately, cannot be dated accurately, with Fredericksen (1997a:71-72) narrowing the increase in Lou only to the period after the introduction of Pam and before the use of pottery of a post-2000 BP style.

Lapita – 3000-2200 B.P.

This period of time witnessed the widest distribution of obsidian the world has ever seen and was associated with the colonisation of Remote Oceania by Austronesian speaking peoples. The archaeological signature for the colonisation of the islands east of the main Solomon chain is Lapita pottery, a component of which is made up of highly ornate decorated ware with intricate dentate stamp impressed designs. Obsidian from sources in the Bismarck Archipelago is also found in these earliest settlements to the east, being found in the Reef/Santa Cruz islands, Vanuatu, New Caledonia and

(...continues on p. 10)




Figure 10. Distribution of the Lapita advance across Melanesia.

Fiji, 3000 kilometres to the east. *Figure 10* shows the progression of settlement in the Bismarck Archipelago at 3300 BP, the Solomon Islands New Caledonia and Vanuatu by 3100-3000 BP, Fiji by 3000 BP and Tonga and Samoa by 2900 BP. Although the bulk of obsidian leaving the Bismarck Archipelago was from Kutau/Bao, the area witnessed the first export of Admiralty Islands obsidian, and also the first use of Banks Islands obsidian, which had a restricted local use (*see below*).

Bismarck Archipelago obsidian is also found to the west in the site of Bukit Tengkorak in south-eastern Sabah, Malaysia, in contexts dated to 3300-2800 BP (Bellwood pers.comm.). Although previously published as by Bellwood (1989:129) as between 2300 to 2700 bp, this has been revised by Bellwood. Five pieces have been sourced to Kutau/Bao using PIXE-PIGME (Bellwood and Koon 1989). Kutau/Bao is located 3,500 kilometres distant. A subsequent electron microprobe analysis of reexcavated obsidian from the same site also found Kutau/Bao obsidian in association with obsidian from the Admiralty source of Umrei (Tykot and Chia 1997), (*Figure 11*). This extends the range of Bismarck Archipelago obsidian to over 6,500 kilometres!

Temporal differences in source selection and distribution




Figure 11. Distribution of obsidian to Sabah, East Malaysia.

Within this wide distribution there were chronological differences in the selection and distribution of obsidian from source areas. This is best illustrated in the Bismarck Archipelago where the development of two spheres of obsidian distribution occur over time, with the southern network evident out of west New Britain preceding a northern west to east network evident out of the Admiralties.

While west New Britain obsidian has

a 20,000 year history of movement outside its immediate natural source area (Summerhayes and Allen 1993), the Lapita period marks the first occurrence of Admiralty Island obsidian outside the Admiralties. In the Early Lapita phase (3300-3000 BP), west New Britain obsidian dominated in all Bismarck Archipelago assemblages (*Figure 12*). This pattern changes in the Middle Lapita phase (3000-2800 BP) when Admiralty obsidian dominated in the eastern Bismarck Archipelago assemblages of Mussau, New Ireland and the tip of east New Britain, plus the Lapita sites from Buka. On Buka, North Solomons, Lapita's presence is later in time, with occupied sites starting from 2760 BP (Wickler 2001:178-179). Wickler had over 300 obsidian pieces from his reef sites and twenty-one pieces from excavated sites sourced at the ANU by Wal Ambrose using density measurements. With the exception of a handful, close to 90% were allocated to Admiralty sources.

West New Britain obsidian still dominated in most of the west New Britain assemblages. This pattern continues for Mussau and northern New Ireland but changes for the east New Britain assemblages where west New Britain sources dominated again from the Late Lapita phase onwards (2700-c.2200 BP). A model to account for these changes will be presented after the




Figure 12. Distribution of obsidian in the Bismarck Archipelago: Early Lapita.

discussion on obsidian distribution into Remote Oceania.

Intra-source selection

Also of importance is the selection of sources within the respective source regions. Within west New Britain it is obsidian from one source, Kutau/Bao, that was selected for export out of this region during the Lapita periods.

Even at archaeological sites such as FSZ or FAO that are located close to or on top of other natural obsidian sources such as Baki on Garua Island, it is the Kutau/Bao obsidian that dominated. Obsidian from Mopir, some 60 kilometres east from the Kutau/Bao source, was not generally associated with Lapita assemblages however it made an appearance in the later Watom Lapita assemblages.

Although Mopir obsidian has a history of use going back to 20,000 years ago (Summerhayes and Allen 1993), its production ceased temporarily at c.3480(3350)3150 cal BP (Petrie and Torrence 2008) when the nearby volcano, Witori, erupted covering the site and causing devastation hundreds of kilometres away (Torrence et al. 2000, Torrence 2002; Summerhayes and Hotchkis 1992). It is argued elsewhere that the selection and distribution of Kutau/Bao obsidian when other sources would have been available such as Gulu and Baki, was based on social factors and not ease of access or quality of obsidian (Torrence and Summerhayes 1997). That is the obsidian exports were controlled from the source region, suggesting the exchange of specialised goods, thus lending weight to Sheppard's (1993) notion of the social value of obsidian in exchange. This is different to what went before and after the Lapita period where distance to the source was the major factor determining source selection (Summerhayes et al. 1998: 147-150). Thus the selection of obsidian from the source area was a social one, as was the distribution of obsidian out of the source area to different parts of the western Pacific.

Within the Manus sources, there is no major change in obsidian extractive behaviour for consumption within the Admiralties with Pam obsidian dominating. The only changes are with the selection of Umrei obsidian




Figure 16. Distribution of obsidian – Remote Oceania Lapita

for distribution eastwards outside the Admiralty Islands in the Early Lapita period at 3300 BP, and the selection and westwards movement of Umrei and Pam obsidian in equal proportions, to northern New Guinea by 1300 BP (Summerhayes 2004).

Distribution of obsidian to Remote Oceania (Figure 16)

The Remote Oceanic Lapita sites from the Reef Islands and Santa Cruz, located in the southeast Solomons, contained mostly Kutau/Bao obsidian (97%), with a handful of obsidian from Umrei and the Banks source of Vanua Lava (Green 1987). One piece from Kukuia, west Fergusson was also found in the Reef Santa Cruz site of RF-2, located over 2,000 kilometres away (Green and Bird 1989). Sheppard (et al. in press) has chemically reanalysed this assemblage using a portable XRF and has confirmed the earlier results which demonstrated a dominance of Kutau/Bao obsidian.

East of the southeast Solomon sites it was thought that obsidian was not common. For instance from New Caledonia only a handful of pieces have been found in Lapita contexts from New Caledonia and the Ile de Pins (sourced to Kutau/Bao, West New Britain - Sand and Sheppard 2000). Yet exciting new research at two sites in Vanuatu is changing all this.

The first site is the Makué, on Aore Island, adjacent to Malo Island. Previously less than a dozen obsidian pieces were found on Malo Island (sourced to West New Britain, Admiralties and the Banks - Ambrose 1976), however work by Galipaud at the Makué site has uncovered 87 pieces from excavations, which were analysed using relative density methods by Swete-Kelly and determined to originate from mostly

sources from the Willaumez Peninsula, west New Britain, with a minor component (n=22) to either the Banks Islands or Admiralty sources (Galipaud and Swete-Kelly 2007a and b). A chemical analysis on this obsidian will resolve the issue of Banks Islands or Admiralty sources. Galipaud argues that the site was occupied by at least 3200 BP, however after reviewing the published ages (Galipaud and Swete-Kelly 2007b: Table 1) an initial occupation age or 3100-3000 BP is more satisfactory.

The second site from Vanuatu that is rewriting the history books is Teouma on the south coast of Efate (Bedford et al. 2006). Like the Makué, the first occupation of Teouma probably took place by 3100-3000 BP. Also, like the Makué site, and the Lapita sites from the Reef/Santa Cruz Islands, the majority of obsidian is from Kutau/Bao (Reepmeyer et al. in press). Fifty-six pieces of obsidian were recovered from Teouma, and the majority were sourced to Kutau/Bao using LA-ICP-MS. Only six were sourced to the Banks Islands, and one was identified from Mopir (Reepmeyer et al. in press).

A site later in time than Makué, Teouma and the earliest assemblages from the Reef/Santa Cruz islands is Tikopia. Obsidian from Tikopia although first reported from Talasea sources (N=4) and Bank Islands (n=9) (Kirch and Yen 1982) have been re-analysed using PIXEPIGME and are now known to originate predominantly from Banks Island sources (Vanua Lava and Gaua) with three pieces from Manus (from Umrei on Lou Island and Pam Lin) (Spriggs 1997; and Spriggs et al. in press).

The last obsidian to be described is from Fiji. Two pieces of obsidian

(...continues on p. 12)

from Naigani and a single piece from Bourewa were sourced to Kutau/Bao, west New Britain (Best 1987; Nunn 2007). It is argued that the dominance of west New Britain obsidian in these Remote Oceanic assemblages is a result of the initial colonisation phase out of the Bismarck Archipelago. Once this colonisation phase petered out, continued contact with the Bismarck Archipelago occurred later as evident by the dominance of Admiralty obsidian on Tikopia. The presence of Admiralty obsidian in Late Lapita contexts suggests continued infrequent contact with the west.

Volcanic glass is being imported into Fiji from non-Melanesian sources. Best reports only twenty flakes from Lakeba. Five flakes from early deposits are from Tafahi Island (Tonga), while those from later contexts were argued to have been from the Banks Islands, Vanuatu (1987:31). A re-analysis of these obsidian pieces using LA ICP-MS, however, has shown they probably came from local unknown sources and not the Banks (Reepmeyer and Clark in press).

Modelling changes in obsidian distribution patterns

Why was there a change in the temporal distribution of obsidian from west New Britain and the Admiralties sources during the Middle Lapita phase? The answer lies in the populating of the Pacific and changes in settlement mobility. The association of west New Britain obsidian with the initial spread of Lapita communities into and out of the Bismarck Archipelago occurred when these societies or individuals within it were the most mobile, during what Irwin (1991) calls a "colonisation" phase. The dominance of west New Britain obsidian in these early assemblages could be an expression of the direction of initial impetus for Austronesian expansion which on linguistic grounds came from the west New Britain region (see Lilley 1990, Ross 1988). The distribution of this west New Britain obsidian could have been a result of either direct procurement or the movement of obsidian through a smaller number of hands (see below a description of technological changes). The subsequent movement of obsidian into Remote Oceanic sites was argued as an indicator of a

formal exchange network that was an adaptive mechanism in the colonisation process forming a 'lifeline' back to a homeland (Kirch 1988). In this context exchange is an adaptive strategy for colonists moving east and a means of maintaining social ties (Green 1987).

When this lifeline is no longer needed then exchange with homeland areas would cease.

Yet was the demise of 'exchange' the prime mover for changes in the distribution of some items of material culture? It could be argued that exchange - the movement of items - is epiphenomenal to the movement of people who were needed in the successful colonisation movement during the Early Lapita phase. Once the western Melanesian area was occupied during the Middle Lapita phase, there is a lessening of people movement back in the Bismarck Archipelago homeland communities, reflected in changes in the production of pottery and the distribution of obsidian (see Summerhayes 2003b). The appearance of two obsidian distribution networks with Admiralty Island obsidian making a major appearance could be explained as a product of down the line exchange - i.e. closeness to the source determined the amount of obsidian within a site arising out of a change in the mobility of these Lapita peoples. A model involving the association of a mobile Lapita society with a dominance of west New Britain obsidian, and a less mobile (stable) society with the appearance of two obsidian distribution networks is one that needs more attention.

Note that changes in mobility do not equate to a cessation of interaction between these communities in the Bismarck Archipelago and those further east in the western Pacific.

Similarities in changes to material culture such as the pottery are argued to be the product of information exchange that necessitates the movement of people and ideas (Summerhayes 2000). Communication between these far-flung communities still existed after the colonisation phase in western Melanesia was over.

Technological studies on Lapita obsidian assemblages have helped shed light on changes to distribution mechanisms.

A change to down the line exchange can be seen in the few technological studies of obsidian assemblages.

Earlier Lapita assemblages show an expedient technology not seen in the

earlier pre-Lapita or later assemblages away from the source regions (see Summerhayes 2004).

Hanslip's (2001) work is important here. Hanslip (2001:196) argues that the earliest assemblages from the Reef Islands and Santa Cruz, RF-2 and SZ-8, which are also part of the colonising phase, not only had the largest pieces of obsidian and lacked bipolar flaking, but also showed no signs of on-site production. That is, the material was imported as is, not as blocks. Such an expedient technology is not expected from a down the line exchange network. Furthermore, Specht (2002:42) shows that the earlier Lapita assemblages had heavier pieces of obsidian (mean weights) and from the one site where data is available (Adwe) there is a decline in this mean weight over time in the Middle Lapita period. Taken together the reduction in the size and weight of obsidian could be indicative of an "economising" behaviour associated with later down the line exchange.

The Early Lapita phase is, however, more complicated as the recent results by Swete-Kelly (2001) show. Swete-Kelly's analysis on obsidian from Anir shows that although obsidian from the Middle Lapita assemblage of Balbalankin was heavily reduced, indicative of an economising behaviour, the Early Lapita assemblage of Kamgot also showed a heavy reduction, unlike the Arawe Islands and Reef Islands and Santa Cruz material. Obsidian from Malekolon, on Anir, was also said to be heavily reduced (Ambrose 1976, 1978). This suggests a more complicated picture where different distribution mechanisms of obsidian, such as direct procurement and down the line exchange, were occurring during the Early Lapita phase in the Bismarck Archipelago. Modelling the behaviour behind these differing distribution and use patterns needs more technological analyses on Lapita obsidian assemblages.

Results from the Early Lapita assemblages from Mussau are eagerly awaited.

4. Last 2200 years.

There are a number of major changes to the procurement and distribution of patterns of obsidian during the last two millennia.

After about 2,200 years ago, the archaeological evidence from assemblages in Melanesian Remote Oceania suggests the beginning of regionalisation. This is reflected in the cessation

(...continues on p. 13)

of obsidian transfer from the Bismarck Archipelago into this region. Local Banks Island obsidian is still in use for local consumption, but nothing is moving further south into Vanuatu in association with later Mangaasi ware.

Obsidian from the Admiralties continues to be exchanged to New Ireland, and obsidian from New Britain continues to be distributed to other parts of New Britain and southern New Ireland (Figure 17). Small amounts also reach northern New Ireland, however, these diminish over time (Summerhayes 2004). The obsidian is mostly from the Kutau/Bao source, however, the other sources, including Mopir are moving again in similar proportions that existed before Lapita suggesting a return to down the line exchange (Summerhayes et al. 1998).

There is an increase in the number of mainland New Guinea sites containing west New Britain obsidian (see White 1996; Watson 1986). Within the recent past obsidian was exchanged by the Siassi traders from New Britain to mainland New Guinea, and from there to various exchange networks along the coast and into the highlands.

Obsidian from Kutau/Bao (sources using PIX-PIGME by Summerhayes) is also found along the north coast of New Guinea such as the Aitape and Wewak regions, including offshore islands (Terrell and Welsch 1997).

There is also an increase in Admiralty Island obsidian reaching the north coast of New Guinea (Summerhayes 2003a). Admiralty obsidian is found in contexts dated to 1600 BP from Tumleo, where Pam Lin (42%) and Umrei (50%) make up the majority of obsidian, and Wekwok found in minor amounts (Summerhayes 2003a). Admiralty obsidian is also found as far as Biak in Irian Jaya (Ambrose pers.com; Torrence and Swadling 2008), and in surface collections from the north coast of New Guinea (Terrell and Welsch 1997; Ambrose 1978).

Finally, Fergusson Island obsidian makes a major appearance on the scene. It should be noted obsidian from Kukuia and Fagalulu, west Fergusson, were the dominant sources used in obsidian distribution. Apart from one obsidian flake found from a southeast Solomons Lapita site (Green




Figure 17. Distribution of obsidian in the Bismarck Archipelago: last 2000 years.

and Bird 1989), Fergusson obsidian is not found in archaeological assemblages before 2,000 years ago. It is first found in the Papuan Gulf sites of Oposisi and Ape Venua (Vanderwal 1973:214), Nebira 4 and Mailu in contexts between 2,000 to 1,600 years ago. This marks the colonisation of the south Papuan Coast by Austronesian speakers and descendants of the early Lapita populations (Bird et al. 1981a and b; Green and Bird 1989; Ambrose 1976, Irwin and Holdaway 1996).

Changes in the nature of obsidian reaching Mailu informs us about the nature of interaction among these communities. The obsidian found in these early sites is technologically different from later assemblages indicating different distribution processes. Technological studies on the obsidian assemblages from the eastern Gulf of Papua showed that the earlier 'colonising phase' in Mailu had heavier obsidian than later periods, which is "incidental to the high frequency of communication among related communities undergoing a phase of expansion" (Irwin and Holdaway 1996:228). This is different to obsidian reaching Mailu in what Irwin called a later "trader mode" (Irwin 1991:506).

Fergusson Island obsidian is also found in the closer mainland sites of Wanigela which is dated to the last 1,000 years and also in ethnographic accounts (Egloff 1971; 1979).

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Major changes are needed for the study of obsidian from the western Pacific. Firstly, there is a need to diversify and use other analytical techniques to characterise the ob-

sidian. PIXE-PIGME which is the primary technique used today, is only available at one research establishment, the Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation (ANSTO). There is a need to use techniques that are readily available at a number of universities round the world, such as ICP analysis. This would ensure that obsidian sourcing is more widely available and also as insurance against closure of the technique at ANSTO.

Progress has already been made with establishing chemical signatures of Melanesian obsidian sources using Laser Ablation ICP analysis (Ambrose et al 2009; Reepmeyer 2008), and portable XRF (Sheppard et al. in press). The recent purchasing of hand held XRF machines at a number of Australasian institutions is promising.

Secondly, the development of new machine conditions should go hand in hand with an increase in archaeological research directed to fill in those chronological and spatial black holes in our knowledge of the region. In particular we need more obsidian assemblages from mainland Papua New Guinea covering all time periods, and assemblages from the last 2,000 years from all regions. In short, the direction of obsidian sourcing programs should be directed towards solving archaeological problems.



Politics Aside, The Potomac River Is Still Productive Even In The Middle Of Winter, According To Mike Lundmark.



The Quest continues: one day of hunting in December 2011. Potomac River finds by Mike Lundmark.



Morrow Mountain, actual size.

I was able to comb through the sand and leaves and pebble ridges left by the tides over the course of three days of hunting in December and find about a dozen points. They certainly are a nice variety.

This rainbow colored "Morrow Mountain" is about 3" long. It is obviously missing another inch of tip. This would have been an awesome specimen had it been complete.

I think it is an exciting find, not just because of the beautiful material, but it was probably broken the last time it was used by a stone age hunter.

The quartz "Triangle" is about 1" in length.



The blue, black and grey conglomerate point has long been worn down by the action of water and sand. It must have had a beautiful finish when it was new. It may be a "Savannah River" dart point.



These were all found along the Potomac in December 2011 by Mike Lundmark.

White quartz and crystal quartz continue to be the most common material found in this region for point making.

(...continues on p. 15)

ACOTW™

Arrowhead Collecting On The Web

I believe this material was easily resharpened and reused as often as possible.

My last finds of 2011 produced a nice collection of artifacts in in about four hours on my last hunt of the year. They have all seen their share of use and exposure to the unforgiving tides. (They are represented in the second large photo on this page.) I see "Madisons", "Savannah River", "Fox Creek", "Appalachian", "Palmer" and a "Leaf Point" to round out a 1000 to 5000 year old time line.

It's usually difficult to identify river finds because they could have been rolling in and out in the tides for 10,000 years or more. They are thus most readily classified as "very old projectile points from an Aboriginal hunter".

There is plenty of evidence for prehistoric man along the Potomac River as far back as 12,000 years.

My first Potomac River hunt of



2012 brought me these fine pieces which cover thousands of years and different cultures.

The best find of the New Year is the "Levannah" point. (It is the triangular point at the bottom left in the photograph above.) It remains very much intact since it was

last used 1000 years ago. Levannahs are from the Middle to Late Woodland period, 700 - 1350 A.D. The "Levannah" point was named for The Levannah Site, in Cayuga Co., NY, by William A. Ritchie, and archaeologist from New York state.



Late December 2011 Potomac River finds by Mike Lundmark. Approximately actual size.

e-Mail Letters:

July 30, 2011

Scott,

Just got in from a deer scouting trip. I found a "perfect" axe head on Drowning Creek in Scotland County, NC, about 20 miles west of where I have found the bulk of my finds. Drowning Creek eventually flows into the Cape Fear River, so I guess you could call it part of the Cape Fear Basin.

It was on the edge of a field about 200 yards from the creek and on a hill side overlooking the creek. The field has not been plowed in several years, but it was plowed about two months ago, but nothing was planted in it.

I had found some heads and lots of flakes here before. It was where I had walked many times before, but I guess the fresh plow this year brought it to the surface. It was sitting in the wide open atop its miniature sand mound

that the rain had washed away from underneath it. I wish I'd had my camera with me to take a photo of it before scooping it up.

It's 4 1/2" long by 2 1/2" wide, and as I mentioned early on, it's in "perfect" condition. The narrow end of it has been polished to a perfectly smooth finish. The stone is something I have not seen in this area before. It has what looks like small pieces of quartz embedded in it. It's absolutely beautiful. I will work on getting some detailed photos of it to send to you.

Lin Webb

October 24, 2011

The material it's made from looks like sun and sand bleached Rhyolite which is quite plentiful just 50 miles west of where it was found.

This piece is a 9 out of 10 rating in my opinion. I've never seen such a fine artifact. The front edge does show some scrape marks from use. Other than that, it appears to have been lost before it got much use.

Thanks, Lin



e-Mail Letters:

November 27, 2011

Great site!

Have a couple of rareties from central Texas to share with you.

Ryan Aguirre

November 27, 2011

Hi Ryan,

Are these ceramic? It looks like a large spoon or dipping tool for food handling. Thanks for sending the images.

Scott

November 27, 2011

Scott,

That is a cut lightning welk shell

From the gulf, made into a gorget. Edges are smooth from wear. I dug it here in central ,Tx kendall co. Shows these ancient ppl were trading... trays a long way from the ocean!

Here is a cornertang knife I dug up with it.

Ryan



Annual Editions Of ACOTW Newsletters Now Available In A “Perfect Bound” Series Of Soft Cover Books, 2009, 2010 & 2011.

ACOTW is pleased to announce that you can now order on-line each of the annual editions of Arrowhead Collecting On The Web e-magazines, with every newsletter for each year, from the Charter Year of 2009, or 2010 and 2011.

Here are links to the soft-cover book publishers secure site to order each of the different annuals.

2009 ACOTW Annual Edition ~ Arrowhead Collecting On The Web ~ Volume I:

www.createpace.com/3768648

2010 ACOTW Annual Edition ~ Arrowhead Collecting On The Web ~ Volume II:

www.createpace.com/3768623

2011 ACOTW Annual Edition ~ Arrowhead Collecting On The Web ~ Volume III:

www.createpace.com/3767435

You may be able to click on these links actively within your pdf version of this month's newsletter, depending on how your Adobe Acrobat Reader software is set up. Or, copy the link text and paste it in to your internet search engine.




These ACOTW annual books are also now available from www.Amazon.com where you can use the title to search in their books system. You can also access them on Amazon by using these ISBN numbers.



2009 ACOTW Annual Edition,
ISBN-10: 1468198238

2010 ACOTW Annual Edition,
ISBN-10: 1468198114


2011 ACOTW Annual Edition,
ISBN-10: 146819125X



Volume IV, Number 1



Artifact Authentication Services & Certificates Of Authenticity



You can use a jeweler's Lupe 8X magnifier, just to begin the high enlargement examination of an artifact, to look for edge wear and tool marks.

Authentication and evaluation services for artifacts from all over the world are available from a number of highly respected sources.

Some offer these services for regional items, since they specialize in Western, or South Western, or South Eastern, or North Central or North Eastern artifact types.

And most of the authenticators have web sites. Read up on their services, learn about their specialties, obtain pricing and timing information, and determine how to send items for authentication and evaluation.

Here are some well known and respected authenticators:

Dwain Rogers

Texas Flint Authentication
4102 Birch Avenue
Temple, Texas 76502
Telephone: 1-254-791-5520

Jeff Baker

Baker Authentication
www.BakerCOA.com
P.O. Box 772
Paragould, Arkansas 72451
Telephone: 1-870-239-9722

Bill Jackson

Jackson Galleries
www.JacksonGalleries.com
P.O. Box 1005
Mount Sterling, Kentucky 40353
Telephone: 1-800-466-3836
Fax: 1-859-499-0160

Tom Davis

Davis Artifacts, Inc.
www.TomDavisArtifacts.com
P.O. Box 676
Stanton, Kentucky 40380
Telephone: 1-606-663-2741

Ben Stermer

Western Typology
www.WesternTypology.com
44207 W McClelland Dr.
Maricopa, Arizona 85238
email: BSte122241@aol.com

Jeb Taylor

Jeb Taylor Artifacts
P.O. Box 882
Buffalo, Wyoming 82834
Telephone: 1-307-737-2347

Ken Partain

www.kensrelics.com
7044 Market Street
Dover, Arkansas 72837
Telephone: 1-479-331-3486

Sam Cox

www.SamCoxArtifacts.com
968 Floyd Drive
Lexington, Kentucky 40505
Telephone: 1-859-351-5675

Old Stone Age
Handaxe (Paleolithic),
age 200,000+/- years.



<http://www.Stormbroek.com>

A European artifact gallery,
which offers quality antiquities
from all historic eras, and all
areas around the world.

eBay Store: Stormbroek

Scottsbluff Spear
Point, late Paleo, early
Archaic period, age
8,000 to 10,000 years.



eBay store: SWArkArtifacts
eBay ID: "razrbk"

Dealer located in Arkansas, features
authentic artifacts from the south/central
United States, many with Certificates
of Authenticity.

eBay Store: SWArkArtifacts

Early Cortex Removal On Flint Nodule



Finished Knife Made By Percussion



Middle Of Core Reduction & Thinning Process



All my Neanderthal clansmen grunted and groaned as I hunkered down to work on that chunk of stone ... but when I showed them this knife eyes lit up & eager hands reached out!

It was right after we got back from that 3-day buffalo hunt.

Everyone was satisfied we ran a six-month old calf to ground since it meant we now had several days' fresh, tender meat on hand for us.

Old, Dull Tools Can Cost Way Too Much When You Lose Your Cutting Edge

But old Grog was going on something fierce about how butchering the calf took a lot of extra time that evening (his tools are gettin' old and dull like him).

What really left us on edge about that whole hunt was how closely that drooling gang of hungry hyenas watched us as the sun went down. Our spears were worn and dull, and needed to be sharpened right then, but Grog was still busy struggling to process the calf and had no time to sharpen our weapons.

A Vital Stone Age Resource

As it turns out, I found a fist-sized lump of chalk-covered flint as we waded through the last stream in our anxious rush back to the cave.

So, now I reached into my buffalo skin traveling bag and pulled out the new chunk of stone.

Check It Out ... It's A Good Flint Stone ... I'll Make Us A New Set Of Ginzu Knives

I held out the stone in my hand and displayed it around in a broad arc so the others gathered in front of the cooking fire could see the flint I intended to work on.

Grog snorted in open derision and the other hunters groaned at my sweeping presentation.

New Technology Is Not Always So Easy To Adopt ... Even For Skilled Cavemen

They knew very well I had never before made nor contributed any knives or spear points to our expert hunting and butchering work. They had no reason to expect much from my tool making efforts.

I noticed how they were elbowing the women at their sides and peering askance at me in the corner work area. Nudge, nudge. Wink, wink. Snorts and giggles.

"Say no more, heh, heh." I'll show them. We'll see who laughs last.

The Sharp Crack Of An Impressive Blow

I picked up a good solid hammer stone, adjusted my flint nodule to a different angle and struck the corner with a glancing blow.

The sharp, cracking sound clearly announced my successful effort at breaking away a large chip of the flint and its chalky cortex from the outside surface of the chunk.

Three more quick blows in rapid succession and I removed all that outer soft layer on the first side.

And The Laughter Fades

Turning the piece over showed the newly exposed gray flint to the others, while I set the stone in position to begin on the second side.



Using a Hammerstone

Now all my rowdy and skeptical Neanderthal friends started to gather 'round, watching me work, and giggling no more.

Crack ... crack ... crack! Three more broad flakes removed the rest of the outer cortex.

Now just good solid flint remained.

"Ugh! That's So Sharp" ... "Oh, You're So Adept & Fast!"

A few more well-aimed blows and I accumulated a nice pile of large flat chips of flint, each with those excellent, sharp yet tough cutting edges, ready to slice and dice.

Plus, now I have a core remaining from the center of the chunk, a new axe, which is plenty strong enough and sharp enough to chop wood or even open bone for marrow.

All this took less time than Grog needed, with his worn out, dull knives, to debone just one leg of that buffalo calf after we got back to the cave.

"You've Mastered The Cutting Edge ... What's Your Secret?"

Where did I develop this critical new skill of making razor sharp cutting tools and knives in just a few moments of intense work?

My time traveling friend from the 21st century ordered it for me:

FLINT KNAPPING 20.12™

It's a new 24-lesson correspondence course you can order on-line from:

www.StoneBreaker-FSC.net/FLINT_KNAPPING_20-12.html